Sulfur and Selenium Iodine Compounds: From Nonexistence to Significance

T. KLAPÖTKE and J. PASSMORE*

Department of Chemistry, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada E3B 6E2 Received May 6, 1988 (Revised Manuscript Received March 21, 1989)

It has been our goal to prepare quantitatively in one-step reactions simple compounds that are novel in terms of their stereochemistry and bonding, are first examples of new classes of compounds, and open up new areas of chemistry. Such achievements are often discoveries rather than planned syntheses, and the sulfur and selenium iodine cations (Table I) described here were prepared as a result of an unsuccessful search for SI_3AsF_6 .¹

Binary sulfur iodides are unstable under ambient conditions,²⁻⁴ and selenium iodides⁵ are unknown. Before our work, there were no examples of stable species at room temperature containing covalent S-I or Se-I bonds²⁻⁵ except $SeI_6^{2-.6}$ We have now prepared, usually quantitatively, a number of salts of the sulfur and selenium iodine (and bromine) cations shown in Table I, all of which contain covalent S-I and Se-I bonds. In addition, these cations provide examples of stable derivatives of S7, Se6, thermodynamically stable $np\pi$ - $np\pi$ bonds ($n \ge 3$), and $\pi^*-\pi^*$ bonds. Many of the cations maximize intercationic halogen-chalcogen contacts and thus have cluster-like characteristics, e.g., the cube-like $\operatorname{Se}_6 I_2^{2+}$ (Figure 10) and the distorted right-triangular prismatic $\operatorname{S_2} I_4^{2+}$ (Figure 4). The bonding encountered in these cations has been helpful in understanding the puzzling geometries of more complex related species, e.g., S_8^{2+} , Se_8^{2+} , S_4N_4 , and $S_2O_4^{2-}$, a fuller account of which is given in ref 7.

Instability of Neutral Sulfur and Selenium Binary Iodides

Solid S_2I_2 has been characterized at -90 °C,² and SI_2 at 9 K,³⁻⁴ but no structural evidence has been presented for the corresponding binary selenium iodides.⁵ The instability of the S–I and Se–I bonds can be attributed to their very low ionic resonance stabilization energies as the electronegativity of iodine is about the same as that of sulfur and selenium. Thus ΔH (eq 1) and ΔH (eq 2) are -18.0 and -1.3 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively.⁸ They

$$2 - S - I(g) \rightarrow -S - S - (g) + I_2(g)$$
(1)

$$2 - \mathrm{Se-I}(g) \rightarrow -\mathrm{Se-Se-}(g) + \mathrm{I}_2(g)$$
(2)

are even more unstable in the solid state due (in part)

Thomas Klapötke was born in Göttingen in 1961. He studied chemistry at the Technical University of Berlin under the supervision of Prof. Köpf and obtained his Ph.D. in 1986. In 1987 he won a Feodor-Lynen-Scholarship of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and spent a year as a visiting scholar with Prof. Jack Passmore at the University of New Brunswick in Canada. Since 1988 he has been at the Technical University of Berlin working on fluoro organometallic chemistry.

Jack Passmore was born in Barnstaple, Devon, England, and received his B.Sc. and D.Sc. from the University of Bristol, England, and his Ph.D. degree (with Dr. Neil Bartlett) from the University of British Columbia in Vancouver. He did postdoctoral work during the year 1968–1969 at MacMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (with Dr. Ronald J. Gillespie). He then joined the faculty at the University of New Brunswick in 1969, where he is presently Professor of Chemistry.

Table I Characterized ^a Binary Sulfur and Selenium Halogen Cations				
	F	Cl	Br	I
s	SF ₃ +	SCl₃⁺	SBr ₃ ⁺ S ₇ Br ⁺ Br ₂ S ⁺ SSBr	$\frac{S_{2}I_{4}^{2+}}{S_{7}I^{+}}$ [(S_{7}I)_{2}I]^{3+}
Se	SeF ₃ +	SeCl ₃ + Se ₇ +SeSeCl	SeBr ₃ + Se ₂ Br ₅ + Br ₂ Se+SeSeBr	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm SeI_3^{+}} \\ {\rm Se_2I_4^{2+}} \\ {\rm I_2Se^+SeSeSe^+I_2^{b}} \\ ({\rm Se_6I^+})_n \\ {\rm Se_6I_2^{2+}} \end{array}$

^aStructure of cations determined by X-ray crystallography. ^bIdentified in solution by ⁷⁷Se NMR.

to the large sublimation energy of solid I₂ (62.3 kJ mol⁻¹). For example, CF₃SI is detected as a gas, but readily disproportionates in the solid state above -100 °C according to eq 3.^{9a} CH₃SI behaves similarly and is also only stable in the solid state at very low temperatures, decomposing to CH₃SSCH₃ and I₂.^{9b}

$$2CF_{3}SI(s) \rightarrow CF_{3}SSCF_{3}(s) + I_{2}(s)$$
(3)

The structure of Ph_3CSI , which is stable^{10c} in the solid state at -78 °C and in solution in the dark, has been determined.^{10b} Evidence for RCOSI (R = aryl) has been presented,^{10a} but the material has not been structurally characterized. No neutral compound containing a room

(1) Passmore, J.; Taylor, P.; Whidden, T. K.; White, P. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 689.

(2) (a) Dasent, W. E. Non-Existent Compounds; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1965; p 162. (b) Daneky, J. P. In Sulfur in Organic and Inorganic Chemistry; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1971; Vol. 1, p 327. (c) Peach, M. E. Int. J. Sulfur Chem. 1973, 8(1), 151.

(3) (a) Vahl, G.; Minkwitz, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1978, 443, 217 and references therein. (b) Manzel, K.; Minkwitz, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1978, 441, 165.

(4) Feuerhahn, M.; Vahl, G. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1980, 16, 5.

(5) Behrendt, U.; Gerwarth, U. W.; Jäger, S.; Kreuzbichzer, I.; Seppelt, K. In *Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry*, 8th ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984; Supplement Vol. B2, No. 10.

(6) Greenwood, N. N.; Earnshaw, A. Chemistry of the Elements; Pergamon Press: Oxford, New York, Toronto, Sydney, Paris, Frankfurt, 1986 and references therein.

(7) Burford, N.; Passmore, J.; Sanders, J. C. P. From Atoms to Polymers: Isoelectronic Analogies. In *Molecular Structure and Energetics*; Leibman, J. F., Greensberg, A., Eds.; VCH: Vol. 8, in press and references therein.

(8) (a) Johnson, J. P.; Murchie, M. P.; Passmore, J.; Tajik, M.; White, P. S.; Wong, C.-M. Can. J. Chem. 1987, 65, 2744. (b) Steudel, R. In Chemistry of the Non-Metals; Walter de Gruyter: Berlin, New York, 1977.

 (10) (a) Kato, S.; Hattori, E.; Mizutta, M.; Ishida, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 150. (b) Minkwitz, R.; Preut, H.; Sawatzki, J. Z. Naturforsch. 1988, 43B, 399. (c) Guaraldi, G.; Ciuffariu, E. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 2006. (d) Maloof, M.; Smith, S.; Soodak, M. Mech. React. Sulfur Compd. 1969, 4, 61. Frankel-Conrat, H. J. Biol. Chem. 1955, 217, 373.



Figure 1. SeI_3^+ cation in SeI_3MF_6 (M = As, Sb). Weak contacts are indicated as \cdots here and in other figures.

temperature stable covalent S–I bond has so far been characterized,² although the human thyroid is thought to contain such a compound.^{10d} It is likely part of a protein, isolated from other S–I bonds, and thus kinetically stabilized. The first synthesis and structure of a stable neutral iodo selenide RSeI (R = 2,4,6-^tBu₃C₆H₂) has recently been reported;¹¹ is it likely kinetically stabilized by the bulky substituent (cf. kinetically stable RP=PR and R₂Si=SiR₂; R bulky groups).¹²

Sulfur and Selenium Iodine Cations

Preparation and Characterization of Sel₃**MF**₆ (**M** = **As, Sb**). Although neutral binary selenium iodides are unstable, salts of Sel_6^{2-6} have been known for some time. More recently we prepared Sel_3MF_6 according to eq 4 and 5 as well as various other routes.¹³

$$2Se + 3I_2 + 3AsF_5 \xrightarrow{SO_2(1)} 2SeI_3AsF_6 + AsF_3 \quad (4)$$

$$6Se + 9I_2 + 10SbF_5 \xrightarrow{SO_2(I)} 6SeI_3SbF_6 + (SbF_3)_3 \cdot SbF_5$$
(5)

The heat of reaction 4 was estimated to be $-100 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1.8a}$ The Se–I bonds in SeI₃⁺ are probably only slightly stronger than those in SeI₂. The crystal lattice energy stabilizes SeI₃AsF₆ substantially.^{8a} Presumably SeI₆²⁻ salts are also stabilized by their crystal lattice energies. The X-ray crystal structures of SeI₃MF₆ confirmed the identity of the pyramidal SeI₃⁺ cation^{8a} (Figure 1). The average selenium-iodine bond distance is 2.510 Å and is similar to the sum of the covalent radii of Se and I (2.493 Å). SBr₃MF₆¹⁴ (N.B. SBr₄ is not known) and TeI₃MF₆^{8a,15} have also been prepared.

Preparation of S_7IMF_6 , $(S_7I)_4S_4$ (AsF₆)₆, and $(S_7I)_2I(SbF_6)_3 \cdot 2AsF_3$. The successful preparations of salts of SeI₃⁺ led naturally to the attempted synthesis of the analogous SI₃⁺ compounds.¹ Initial reactions were carried out with an excess of sulfur relative to the stoichiometric amounts indicated in eq 4 and 5. The chemical analyses of these products corresponded to



Figure 2. S_7I^+ cation in S_7ISbF_6 .

 S_7IMF_6 (M = As, Sb), vastly different from those calculated for SI_3MF_6 . Subsequently S_7IMF_6 were prepared quantitatively, in liquid SO_2 or AsF_3 solution¹⁶ according to eq 6-8.

$${}^{14}_{8}S_{8} + I_{2} + 3AsF_{5} \rightarrow 2S_{7}IAsF_{6} + AsF_{3} \qquad (6)$$

$${}^{42}_{8}S_8 + 3I_2 + 10SbF_5 \rightarrow 6S_7ISbF_6 + (SbF_3)_3 \cdot SbF_5$$
(7)

$$S_x(AsF_6)_2 (x = ca. 19) + I_2 \rightarrow 2S_7IAsF_6 + [(x - 14)/8]S_8 (8)$$

Attempts were made to prepare S_8I^+ according to eq 9 and 10, but S_7IAsF_6 was formed in both reactions; I_2 and S_8 (for eq 9), and KAsF₆ and S_8 (for eq 10), were also quantitatively produced. Presumably S_8I^+ is

$$I_3AsF_6 + nS_8 \rightarrow S_7IAsF_6 + I_2 + (n - 7/8)S_8 \quad (9)$$

$$S_8(AsF_6)_2 + KI \rightarrow S_7IAsF_6 + KAsF_6 + \frac{1}{8}S_8$$
(10)

formed initially but it disproportionates to the more thermodynamically stable (estimated at ca. 26 kJ mol⁻¹) S₇IMF₆ and sulfur.¹⁶ An important factor in the stability of S_7I^+ , relative to S_8I^+ , is the lower ionization energy of S_7 (836.4 kJ mol⁻¹) relative to that of S_8 (872.4 kJ mol⁻¹).¹⁷ The ionization energy of S_5 (830 kJ mol⁻¹) is less than that of S_6 (868 kJ mol⁻¹) or S_4 (1000 kJ mol^{-1} ,¹⁷ and consistently the radical cation S_5^+ has been detected in solution but not S_4^+ or S_6^+ .¹⁸ Other S_7^+ derivatives to have been characterized are $S_7I^{+,1,16}$ $S_7Br^{+,19}$ $(S_7I)_2I^{3+,20}$ and $S_7^{+}-S_5-S_7^{+}$ $(S_{19}^{2+})^{,21}$ This suggests that the odd unipositively charged rings S_7^+ and S_5^+ (with or without substituents) are most stable than the even-membered rings S_8^+ or S_6^+ . This is in contrast to the situation for neutral rings, where evenmembered rings are the most stable, with the stability sequence $S_8 > S_6 > S_7 >>> S_5$ (not isolated).²² We have been unable to synthesize S_8I^+ (and S_8Br^+)^{1,16,19} or S_6I^+ (and S_6Br^+),^{19,20} and our attempts to make S_5I^+ (and S_5Br^+) led to the isolation of $(S_7I)_4S_4(AsF_6)_6$,^{20,23} $(S_7I)_2I(SbF_6)_3 \cdot 2AsF_3$,²⁰ and $(S_7Br)_4S_4(AsF_6)_6$.¹⁹ These compounds were prepared quantitatively according to eq 11 and 12 from sulfur, iodine, and the corresponding pentafluoride.

⁽¹¹⁾ du Mont, W.-W.; Kubiniok, S.; Peters, K.; von Schnering, H.-G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 780.

 ^{(12) (}a) Cowley, A. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 386. (b) West, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1201. (c) Yoshifuji, M.; Shima, I.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Higuchi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4587.

⁽¹³⁾ Passmore, J.; Taylor, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 804.

 ^{(14) (}a) Passmore, J.; Richardson, E. K.; Taylor, P. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 1681.
 (b) Murchie, M. P.; Passmore, J. Inorg. Synth. 1986, 24, 76.
 (c) Brooks, W. V. F.; Passmore, J.; Richardson, E. K. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 3230.
 (d) Passmore, J.; Richardson, E. K.; Whidden, T. K.; White, P. S. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 851.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; White, P. S. Can. J. Chem. 1981, 59, 2876.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; Taylor, P.; Whidden, T. K.; White, P. S. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 3839.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Wagman, D. D.; Evans, W. H.; Parker, V. B.; Schumm, R. H.; Halow, I.; Bailey, S. M.; Chruney, K. L.; Nuttall, R. L. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1982, Suppl 2, 11.

 ⁽¹⁸⁾ Low, H. S.; Beaudet, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 3849.
 (19) Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; Whidden, T. K.; White, P. S.; Wong,

C.-M. Can. J. Chem. 1985, 63, 1209. (20) (a) Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; White, P. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 901 (b) Passmore, J. Sutherland, G. White, P.

Chem. Commun. 1979, 901. (b) Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; White, P.
 S. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2717.
 (21) Burns, R. C.; Gillespie, R. J.; Sawyer, J. F. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19,

⁽²¹⁾ Burns, R. C.; Gillespie, R. J.; Sawyer, J. F. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1423.

 ^{(22) (}a) Steudel, R.; Mäusle, H.-J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1981, 478, 156
 and 177. (b) Steudel, R.; Strauss, R.; Koch, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
 Engl. 1985, 24, 59.

⁽²³⁾ Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; White, P. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 330.

$$4S_8 + 2I_2 + 9A_8F_5 \xrightarrow{SO_2(I)} (S_7I)_4S_4(A_8F_6)_6 + 3A_8F_3$$
(11)

$${}^{28}_{8}S_{8} + 3I_{2} + 10SbF_{5} \xrightarrow{AsF_{3}(1)} 2((S_{7}I)_{2}I)(SbF_{6})_{3} \cdot 2AsF_{3} + (SbF_{3})_{3}SbF_{5} (12)$$

We were surprised to find that S_4^{2+} had been formed in reaction 11, as a large excess of AsF_5 in SO_2 or AsF_3 oxidizes sulfur only to S_8^{2+} . In fact S_4^{2+} was only prepared by heating S_8 and liquid SbF_5 at 120 °C for several days!²⁴⁻²⁶ It was postulated that iodine facili-tates the oxidation to S_4^{2+} and subsequently S_8 was quantitatively oxidized to $S_4(AsF_6)_2$ by AsF_5 in liquid SO_2 in the presence of trace halogen (I₂, Br₂, Cl₂) within minutes of the reaction mixture warming up to room temperature.²³⁻²⁴

Structures of $S_7 IMF_6$, $(S_7 I)_4 S_4 (AsF_6)_6$, and $(S_7 I_2I(SbF_6)_3 \cdot 2AsF_3$. The structures of all four salts were determined by X-ray crystallography. The S₇I⁺ cations in both $S_7 IMF_6^{1,16}$ salts and in $(S_7 I)_4 S_4 (AsF_6)_6^{20}$ are essentially identical; S_7I^+ (Figure 2) consists of a seven-membered homoatomic sulfur ring in a slightly distorted chain configuration similar to those in γ - and δ -S₇,²⁷ S₇O,²⁸ and S₁₉²⁺,²¹ but with an exocyclic iodine. The geometries of S_7O and S_7I^+ are similar, with similar bond-length alternations.^{1,16,27,29} These alternations may be viewed as arising from the alternations present in S_7 and the presence of a positively charged tricoordinate sulfur atom (connected to the iodine).^{1,16} The extent of the lengthening and shortening is greatest near the source of the perturbation: S(1)-S(7) is very long $(2.389 (4) Å, bond order of 0.37)^{31} and S(7)-S(6) very$ short (1.900 (5) Å, bond order of 1.76) (Figure 2). In valence-bond terms, the structure can be viewed as consisting of structure A and a number of other resonance structures that delocalize the charge into the ring, the most important of which is B.



The S⁺–I distances $(2.30-2.37 \text{ Å})^{16}$ in all S₇I⁺ salts (including $(S_7I)_4S_4(AsF_6)_6$) correspond to a bond order of 1 (sum of covalent radii: 2.37 Å) and are all shorter than the S-I bond length (2.406 (4) Å) in $(C_6H_5)_3CSI^{10c}$ These are the only examples of structural determinations of covalent \tilde{S} -I bonds. $(CH_3)_2SISbF_6$, $(CH_3)_2SI-SbCl_6$, 32a and $(CH_3)(CF_3)SIMF_6$ have recently been

(24) Murchie, M. P. Ph.D. Thesis, University of New Brunswick, 1986. (25) Ruff, O.; Graf, H.; Heller, W.; Knock, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1906, 39, 4310.

- (26) Gillespie, R. J.; Passmore, J. Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 1975, 17, 49 and references therein.
- (27) (a) Steudel, R.; Reinhardt, R.; Schuster, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 16, 715. (b) Steudel, R.; Steidel, J.; Pickardt, J.; Schuster, F. Z. Naturforsch. 1980, 35B, 1378.
 (28) Steudel, R.; Reinhardt, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 16, 712
- 716
- (29) Steudel, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 655. Steudel,
 R.; Schuster, F. J. Mol. Struct. 1978, 49, 143.
 (30) Gillespie, R. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1979, 8, 315.
- (31) Campana, C. F.; Lo, F. Y.-K.; Dahl, L. F. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 3060
- (32) (a) Minkwitz, R.; Preutzel, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1987, 548,
 97. (b) Minkwitz, R.; Werner, A. Z. Naturforsch. 1988, 43B, 403.



Figure 3. $(S_7I)_2^{3+}$ cation in $(S_7I)_2I(SbF_6)_3 \cdot 2AsF_3$.



Figure 4. The $S_2I_4^{2+}$ cation in $S_4I_4(AsF_6)_2$.



Figure 5. The S₂ and 2I₂ dimers joined via $\pi^{*}-\pi^{*}$ interactions to give $S_2I_4^{2+}$.

reported to be stable up to -20 °C or -35 °C, respectively, and were characterized by Raman and NMR spectroscopy.

 $(S_7I)_4S_4(AsF_6)_6$ contains discrete S_7I^+ and $S_4{}^{2+}$ cations and AsF_6^- anions.²⁰ The $S_4{}^{2+}$ cation has a square-planar geometry similar to those in $S_4(AsF_6)_2 \cdot 0.6SO_2^{23}$ and $(S_7Br)_4S_4(AsF_6)_2^{.19}$ The structure of the $(S_7I)_2I^{3+}$ cation consists of two equivalent S₇I⁺ units that have geometries similar to those observed in $S_7 IMF_6^{16}$ and $(S_7 I)_4 S_4 (AsF_6)_6^{20,23}$ (Figure 3), joined by a bridging iodine atom. The structure is approximately described in terms of two resonance structures $S_7I_2^{2+}$ and S_7I^+ , and thus the bridging sulfur-iodine bond (2.674 (7) Å) has a formal bond order of 0.5. The I(1)-S(6) intercationic distance (3.394 (3) Å) in S_7I^+ itself and the corresponding I(1)–S(3) interaction in $(S_7I)_2I^{3+}$ (3.381 (9) Å) are significantly less than the corresponding sum of the van der Waals radii of 4.0 Å. In addition, the bridging iodine atom also has a very weak contact with each of the S_7I^+ units (I(2)-S(6), 3.777 (8) Å). Thus both S_7I^+ and $(S_7I)_2I^{3+}$ have cluster-like characteristics.

Preparation and Structure of $S_2I_4(AsF_6)_2$. In an attempt to prepare other salts of novel sulfur-iodine cations (e.g., $S_2I(AsF_6)$, cf. S_2FAsF_6), we reacted S_4 - $(AsF_6)_2$ with an excess of iodine. One product was characterized as $S_2I_4(AsF_6)_2$ and was subsequently synthesized quantitatively in liquid sulfur dioxide ac-cording to eq 13.³³ Systematic attempts to prepare

$$\frac{1}{4}S_8 + 2I_2 + 3A_8F_5 \rightarrow S_2I_4(A_8F_6)_2 + A_8F_3$$
 (13)

 SI_3AsF_6 were unsuccessful. All reactions lead to S_2I_4 - $(AsF_6)_2(s)$ and $I_2(s)$ although SI_3AsF_6 formation was

⁽³³⁾ Passmore, J.; Sutherland, G.; Whidden, T. K.; White, P. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 289.



Figure 6. The $\text{Se}_2\text{I}_4^{2+}$ cation in $\text{Se}_2\text{I}_4(\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11})_2$.



Figure 7. The SeI₂⁺ radical cation π MOs derived from p_z selenium and iodine AOs.

shown to be thermodynamically feasible; the disproportionation to solid $S_2I_4(AsF_6)_2$ and $I_2(s)$ was shown to be even more thermodynamically favorable.^{24,33}

The structure of $S_2I_4(AsF_6)_2$ consists of discrete $S_2I_4^{2+}$ and AsF_6^- ions with weak anion-cation contacts.^{24,33} The $S_2I_4^{2+}$ cation has a unique distorted right triangular prismatic structure (C_2 symmetry) (Figure 4)³³ and, unexpectedly, does not have the structure as the isoelectronic P₂I₄.³⁴

The S–S (1.828 (1) Å, cf. S_2 , $S_2(X^3\sum \bar{g})$ 1.8894 Å³⁵) and I–I bond lengths (2.597 (2) Å, cf. $I_2(g)$, 2.662 Å³⁶ and I_2^+ , 2.557 (4) $Å^{37}$ in $S_2I_4^{2+}$ indicate bond orders of 2.33 and 1.33, respectively. The S-S bond distance in this cation is the shortest reported. The S-I distances of 2.858 (6) Å and 3.195 (6) Å are comparable to sulfur-iodine distances in sulfur-iodine charge-transfer complexes^{2c} and are longer than that in $[(H_2N)_2CS]_2I^+$ (2.629 Å),³⁸ which has a formal S-I bond order of 0.5, but they are less than the sum of the van der Waals radii (4.00 Å).³⁹ The $S_2I_4^{2+}$ cation may be regarded as consisting of $S_2^{0.66+}$ and $2I_2^{0.66+}$ units, weakly bonded together via two mutually perpendicular sets of $\pi^* - \pi^*$ orbitals (Figure 5) by electrons in π^* orbitals. Thus, π bonding in the cation is maximized. The equidistributions of charge over all three dimer units $(S_2^{0.66+}, 2I_2^{0.66+})$ and the resulting bonding situation may arise from the near equality of the ionization energies of S_2 (9.36 eV) and I_2 (9.3995 eV).⁴⁰ Therefore, $S_2I_4^{2+}$ is an example, par excellence, of a thermodynamically stable species that contains a $np\pi$ - $np\pi$ ($n \ge 3$) bond.⁷

Preparation and Structure of $Se_2I_4(Sb_2F_{11})_2$. It was postulated that the structure and bonding in $S_2I_4^{2+}$ were a consequence of the near equality of the ionization energies (IEs) of S_2 and I_2 . To test this hypothesis, we attempted the synthesis of $Se_2I_4^{2+}$ (IE of $Se_2 = 8.33$ eV),⁴⁰ and subsequently $Se_2I_4(Sb_2F_{11})_2$ was prepared according to eq 14 and its structure determined.⁴¹ The

$$2I_2Sb_2F_{11} + 2Se \xrightarrow[room temperature]{SO_2} Se_2I_4(Sb_2F_{11})_2$$
(14)

- (34) Leung, Y. C.; Waser, J. J. Phys. Chem. 1956, 60, 539.
 (35) Fink, E. H.; Kruse, H.; Ramsay, D. A. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1986, 119. 337.
- (36) Karle, I. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1955, 23, 1739.
- (37) Davies, C. G.; Gillespie, R. J.; Ireland, P. R.; Sowa, J. M. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 2048.

(38) Lin, G. H.-Y.; Hope, H. Acta Crystallogr. 1972, B28, 643.
(39) Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 4th ed.; Interscience: 1982.

(40) Rosenstock, H. M.; Droxl, K.; Steiner, B. W.; Herron, J. T. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1977, Suppl. 1, 6.



Figure 8. Two Sel₂⁺ radical cations joined via weak $\pi^{*}-\pi^{*}$ interactions to give $Se_2I_4^{2+}$.

 $Se_2I_4^{2+}$ cation has an eclipsed structure (Figure 6) similar to that of $S_2O_4^{2-42}$ with two SeI_2 units joined by a weak selenium-selenium bond (2.841 (2) Å) and very weak iodine-iodine interactions (3.756 (2), 3.661 (2) Å) (Figures 6 and 8)⁴¹ (i.e., the structure of $Se_2I_4^{2+}$ only superficially resembles that of $S_2I_4^{2+}$).

The $Se_2I_4^{2+}$ cation may be regarded as two SeI_2^+ radical cations, joined, in part, by overlap of the odd electron in each of the π^* SeI₂⁺ molecular orbitals (Figure 7) resulting in some bonding between all six atoms and a formal selenium-iodine bond order of 1.25^{41} (Figure 8). Consistently, the selenium-iodine bond distances in $\text{Se}_2 I_4^{2+}$ (2.436 (2)-2.450 (2) Å) are significantly shorter than those in Se_3^+ (2.510 (2)-2.513 (2) Å).^{8a} Thus $S_2I_4^{2+}$ and $Se_2I_4^{2+}$ are different from one another, and from their isoelectronic counterparts $P_2I_4^{34}$ and As_2I_4 ,⁴³ which have classical all σ eclipsed geometries. However, they both are cluster-like, and both contain $np\pi - np\pi$ (n > 2) and $\pi^* - \pi^*$ bonds. That the structure of $Se_2I_4^{2+}$ is different from $S_2I_4^{2+}$ supports our contention that the geometry and bonding in the sulfur cation are dependent on the equality of the IEs of S₂ and I_2 .

Preparation of $(Se_6I)_n(AsF_6)_n$ and $Se_6I_2(AsF_6)_2$. Several allotopes of sulfur and their derivatives have been isolated and characterized, including S_n (n = 6-13, been isolated and characterized, including S_n (n = 6-13, 18, 20, and ∞),⁴⁴ S_nO (n = 6-10),²⁸ S_7O_2 ,⁴⁵ and $S_{12}O_2$ in $S_{12}O_2 \cdot 2$ SbCl₅,⁴⁶ and in various cations, for example, S_7I^+ , ¹⁶ S_7Br^+ , ¹⁹ (S_7I)₂ I^{3+} ,²⁰ and (S_8)₂Ag⁺.⁴⁷ Selenium forms^{48a} only the unstable rings Se₈,^{48b} Se₆,^{48c} and Se_{7} ,^{48d,e,49} in addition to polymeric gray selenium. Derivatives of selenium rings had not been reported prior to our work. $(S_7I)_4(S_4)(AsF_6)_6^{20,23}$ has the greatest thermal stability, of the salts containing sulfur-iodine cations, and therefore we attempted to prepare (Se7- $I_4Se_4(AsF_6)_6$.⁵⁰ Selenium and iodine were reacted with AsF_5 in liquid AsF_3 as indicated in eq 11 but by using selenium instead of sulfur. However, the reaction proceeded according to eq 15, and subsequently Se₆I-

(41) Nandana, W. A. S.; Passmore, J.; White, P. S.; Wong, C.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1098.

(42) Dunitz, J. D. Acta Crystallogr. 1956, 9, 579. Kiers, C. Th.; Vos, A. Acta Crystallogr. 1978, B34, 1499.

(43) Baudler, M.; Stassen, H.-J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1966, 343, 244. (44) Steudel, R. Top. Curr. Chem. 1982, 102, 149. Steidel, J.; Steudel, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1312.

(45) Steudel, R. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1982, 1, 313.

(46) Steudel, R.; Steidel, J.; Pickardt, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 325.

(47) Roesky, H. W.; Thomas, M.; Schimkowiak, J.; Jones, P. G.; Pin-kert, W.; Sheldrick, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 895.

- Kert, W.; Sneidrick, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1952, 895.
 (48) (a) Cherin, P.; Unger, P. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 1589. (b) Cherin,
 P.; Unger, P. Acta Crystallogr. 1972, B28, 313. Marsh, R. E.; Pauling,
 L.; McCullough, J. D. Acta Crystallogr. 1953, 6, 71. Foss, O.; Janickis,
 V. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 624. (c) Miyamoto, Y. Jpn. J.
 Appl. Phys. 1980, 19, 1813. (d) Steudel, R.; Strauss, E. M. Z. Natur-forsch. 1981, 36B, 146. (e) Steudel, R.; Papavassiliou, M.; Strauss, E.-M.;
 L siting B. Arguer, Chem. Int Ed. Evol. 1986, 90 Laitinen, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 99.

(49) Steudel, R.; Papavassiliou, M.; Krampe, W. Polyhedron 1987, 7(7), 581.

(50) Nandana, W. A. S.; Passmore, J.; White, P. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 526.



Figure 9. View of the polymeric cations $(Se_6I^+)_n$ in $(Se_6I)_n \cdot n$ - (AsF_6) , representing weak intercationic selenium-selenium contacts (3.591 (3) Å).



Figure 10. $\operatorname{Se}_{6}I_{2}^{2+}$ cation in $\operatorname{Se}_{6}I_{2}(\operatorname{AsF}_{6})_{2}\cdot 2\operatorname{SO}_{2}$.

 AsF_6 was prepared quantitatively according to eq 16 in liquid SO_2 solution.⁵⁰ Large crystals of Se_6IAsF_6 can

$$32Se + 2I_2 + 9AsF_5 \xrightarrow{3 \text{ months}} 4Se_6IAsF_6 + Se_8(AsF_6)_2 + 3AsF_3 (15)$$

$$12Se + I_2 + 3AsF_5 \xrightarrow{1 \text{ week}} 2Se_6IAsF_6 + AsF_3$$
 (16)

be prepared by thermally cycling the reaction mixture. The crystals appeared ruby red in transmitted light and have a golden appearance in reflected light.

The successful synthesis of the polymeric $(Se_6I)_n n$ - (AsF_6) suggested that $Se_6I_2(AsF_6)_2$ might be preparable. Subsequently, selenium and iodine were reacted with AsF_5 in liquid SO_2 according to eq 17.⁵¹

$$6Se + I_2 + 3AsF_5 \xrightarrow{SO_2(l)} Se_6I_2(AsF_6)_2 \cdot 2SO_2 + AsF_3$$
(17)

The ⁷⁷Se NMR of this solution showed the presence of about 11 different selenium cations (see below), and many attempts to produce crystals at room temperature were unsuccessful. However, when the solution was cooled to -70 °C for 1 h and left at room temperature, then 80% of the selenium crystallized out as highly crystalline $Se_6I_2(AsF_6)_2\cdot 2SO_2\cdot^{51-52}$

Structure of $(\mathbf{Se}_6\mathbf{I})_n \cdot n (\mathbf{AsF}_6)$ and $\mathbf{Se}_6\mathbf{I}_2(\mathbf{AsF}_6)_2 \cdot 2$ -**SO**₂. The structure of $(Se_6I)_n \cdot n(AsF_6)$ consists of $AsF_6^$ anions and polymeric strands of $[Se_6I^+]_n$ cations with some cation-cation and cation-anion interactions⁵⁰ (Figure 9). The cation contains hexaselenium rings in a chair conformation similar to that of cyclohexaselenium.48c The rings are joined to two neighboring hexaselenium rings by two weak (2.736 (3) Å) exocyclic 1.4 diaxial Se-I bonds (Figure 9) of bond order ca. 0.5: each tricoordinate Se atom carries a charge of 0.5. The $[Se_6I^+]_n$ cation was the first example of a derivative of a selenium ring; and it is also polymeric, unlike the known sulfur-iodine cations.

The discrete centrosymmetric $Se_6I_2^{2+}$ cation contains hexaselenium rings of chair conformation (Figure 10) similar to those in $\operatorname{Se_6}^{48b}$ and $[\operatorname{Se_6}I^+]_n$,⁵⁰ but in contrast to that in $[Ph_2Se_6^{2+}]$,⁵³ which has an Se₆ ring with a boat conformation. The iodine substituents are in the 1,4 axial positions.

The tricoordinate selenium atoms in the Seelo²⁺ cation are positively charged, but there is delocalization of charge into the ring, resulting in bond alternation⁵¹ (2.482 (2) Å, 2.227 (2) Å) and the formation of $4p\pi - 4p\pi$ bonds. Each of the two iodine atoms makes two intraionic contacts with both the dicoordinate, but partially charged, selenium atoms within the ring and the contacts (3.719 (2) Å and 3.709 (2) Å) that are substantially shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of Se and I (4.15 Å). Thus the $\text{Se}_{6}I_{2}^{2+}$ has a definite distorted cube cluster-like geometry, which it probably retains in solution (see below).

Identification of $Se_4I_4^{2+}$ and $Se_6I_2^{2+}$ by ⁷⁷Se NMR Spectroscopy in Solution. The characterization of the sulfur-iodine cations is seriously hindered by the lack of a suitable spectroscopic technique, but ⁷⁷Se NMR can be used in the selenium system. We therefore systematically searched for selenium-iodine cations in SO_2 solution, by natural abundance ⁷⁷Se NMR. As part of this investigation, we followed the reaction of $\operatorname{Se_4^{2+}}$ with varying amounts of I_2 and found that the equation proceeded according to eq 18.55

$$\operatorname{Se}_{4}(\operatorname{AsF}_{6})_{2} + 2\operatorname{I}_{2} \to \operatorname{Se}_{4}\operatorname{I}_{4}(\operatorname{AsF}_{6})_{2}$$
(18)

The major features of the spectrum were two peaks of equal intensity and satellite peaks showing ⁷⁷Se-⁷⁷Se couplings consistent with an AXX'A' spectrum. These data are consistent with an I₂Se⁺SeSeSe⁺I₂ formulation for the cation. In addition to the two major peaks attributable to $Se_4I_4^{2+}$, there were three other less intense peaks present, one attributable to SeI_3^+ and the other two due to $\mathrm{Se_6I_2}^{2+}$, whose integrated areas were in a ratio of 1:2. The latter two peaks have satellites due to 77 Se $-{}^{77}$ Se couplings consistent with an AX₂X₂'A' spectrum and therefore attributable to a symmetric Se₆I₂²⁺. Se₄I₄²⁺ was shown to be in equilibrium with SeI₃⁺ and Se₆I₂²⁺ according to eq 19, and ΔH° and ΔS° have been estimated to be 20 kJ mol⁻¹ and 60 J K⁻¹ $mol^{-1}.55$

$$2\mathrm{Se}_{4}\mathrm{I}_{4}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons 2\mathrm{Se}\mathrm{I}_{3}^{+} + \mathrm{Se}_{6}\mathrm{I}_{2}^{2+}$$
(19)

The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra of solutions of $Se_6I_2(AsF_6)_2$ at various temperatures show that $\mathrm{Se}_{6}\mathrm{I_{2}}^{2+}$ itself is in equilibrium with Se_{8}^{2+} and $\mathrm{Se}_{4}\mathrm{I_{4}}^{2+}$ according to eq 20.⁵²

$$2\mathrm{Se}_{6}\mathrm{I}_{2}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Se}_{8}^{2+} + \mathrm{Se}_{4}\mathrm{I}_{4}^{2+}$$
(20)

It is also in equilibrium with several other species, which are presently under investigation. $\mathrm{Se}_4 I_4^{2^+}$ and $\mathrm{Se}_6 I_2^{2^+}$ undergo Se⁺-I and Se-Se bond redistribution reactions, and since the various combinations do not differ greatly in enthalpy, the formation of the large number of species is probably entropy driven. It is likely that the sulfur-iodine cations also give complex equilibrium mixtures in solution.

Chloro and Bromo Cations of Sulfur and Sele**nium.** All binary chalcogen-chlorine cations of the type XCl_3^+ (X = S, Se, Te) have been prepared, and the

⁽⁵¹⁾ Passmore, J.; White, P. S.; Wong, C.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1178. (52) Wong, C.-M. Ph.D. Thesis, University of New Brunswick, 1988.

⁽⁵³⁾ Faggiani, R.; Gillespie, R. J.; Kolis, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 592.
(54) Burns, R. C.; Chan, W. L.; Gillespie, R. J.; Luk, W. C.; Sawyer, J. F.; Slim, D. R. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1432.
(55) Carnell, M. M.; Grein, F.; Murchie, M. P.; Passmore, J.; Wong, C. M. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1985.

C.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 225.



Figure 11. Se₉Cl⁺ cation in Se₉ClSbCl₆.



Figure 12. Se₂Br₅⁺ cation in Se₂Br₅AsF₆,



Figure 13. Se₃Br₃⁺ cation in Se₃Br₃AsF₆

structures of the SCl₃^{+ 56} and SeCl₃^{+ 57} cations are similar to that of SeI_3^+ (Figure 1). Gillespie et al. prepared and characterized by X-ray crystallography the Se7+-Se₂Cl cation in Se₉ClSbCl₆.⁵⁸ This compound contains the first example of a structurally characterized seven-membered selenium ring. The chair conformation of the Se₇ ring with the Se₂Cl in the endo position is shown in Figure 11. The geometry of the Se_7 +SeSeCl is similar to that of $Br_2Se^+SeSeBr$ (see below) with the Se_7^+ replacing the Br_2Se^+ in $Br_2Se^+SeSeBr$. The bromine cations $SBr_3^{+8a,14a,b}$ and $SeBr_3^{+14c,d}$ are

also of interest as these are the simplest binary bromine cations which contain the $X(IV)^+$ -Br (X = S, Se) bond. The X-ray crystal structures of SBr₃MF₆ and SeBr₃MF₆ confirm the identity of the pyramidal cations^{8a} (Figure 1). S_7BrMF_6 and $(S_7Br)_4S_4(AsF_6)_6$ were prepared quantitatively by routes similar to those of the iodine counterparts¹⁹ (see above). The structure of S_7Br^+ in $(S_7Br)_4S_4(AsF_6)_6$ is very similar to that of S_7I^+ (Figure 2).

The first example of an X_2 Hal₅⁺ species (X = chalcogen) is the cation $Se_2Br_5^+$, which was prepared quantitatively according to eq 21 and 22.5^9 The Se₂Br₅⁺ 4Se

$$+ 5Br_2 + 3AsF_5 \rightarrow 2Se_2Br_5AsF_6 + AsF_3 \qquad (21)$$

$$\operatorname{Se}_{4}(\operatorname{AsF}_{6})_{2} + 5\operatorname{Br}_{2} \rightarrow 2\operatorname{Se}_{2}\operatorname{Br}_{5}\operatorname{AsF}_{6}$$
 (22)

cation (C_{2h} symmetry) contains two trans SeBr₂ units, linked by a bridging bromine atom at an inversion center (Figure 12). Recently Br₂X⁺XXBr(AsF₆⁻) [X = S, Se] have been prepared quantitatively according to eq 23,60 containing the Br_2X^+XXBr cation (Figure 13). The Se-Se bond orders vary from substantially

$$3Br_2 + %S_8 \text{ (or 6Se)} + 3AsF_5 \rightarrow Br_2XXBr(AsF_6) + AsF_3 (23)$$

less than 1 (Se(1)-Se(2), 2.554 (6) Å) to ca. 1.5 (Se-(2)-Se(3), 2.211 (6) Å). This implies substantial $4p\pi$ - $4p\pi$ bonding between Se(2) and Se(3) and, in valencebond terms, suggests that the bonding may be represented by valence-bond structures C and D.



The cluster-like geometry of this cation maximizes intracationic contacts, charge delocalization, the number of Se⁺-Br bonds (cf. MeSeSe⁺(Me)SeMe)⁶¹, Se-Se bond alternation, and π bonding.

Conclusions

A New Class of Compounds Discovered: The Chalcogen Iodine (and Bromine) Cations. As a result of our unsuccessful attempts to prepare $SI_3(MF_6)$. a large number of novel, stable sulfur and selenium iodine and bromine cations have been prepared quantitatively and their structures have been determined (Table I). Thus, whereas stable neutral sulfur iodides and selenium iodides either are not known (selenium) or can only be prepared at low temperatures (sulfur), sulfur-iodine and selenium-iodine cations have been shown to be unexpectedly numerous. These simple cations have novel structures and bonding arrangements, and it may be argued that the goals outlined at the beginning of this Account have been achieved.

The Stable $>X^+$ -I (X = S, Se) Bond. The crystal lattice component is likely not the only factor responsible for the stability of the X⁺-I bonds in the salts described in this Account, as $(CH_3)_2SISbF_6$, $(CH_3)_2SI-SbCl_6$, and $(CH_3)(CF_3)SIMF_6^{32}$ are stable only at low temperatures. The S⁺-I (Br) and Se⁺-I (Br) bond distances in the more complex chalcogen halide cations are all slightly shorter than the sums of the corresponding covalent radii and the corresponding bond lengths in neutral S(II)-I (Br) and Se(II)-I (Br) containing compounds.^{10c,11} They are also shorter than the observed or predicted^{8a} X-Hal bond lengths in XHal₃⁺ (X = S, Se; Hal = I, Br).^{8a} The S⁺-I (Br) and Se⁺-I (Br) bonds in the chalogen halide cations are therefore presumably stronger than those in corresponding neutral compounds, or simple MHal₃⁺ salts and their simple derivatives. In addition, the more complex chalcogen halide cations, as a whole, are probably stabilized by charge delocalization, bond alternation, and halogen-chalcogen intercationic contacts, as a consequence of the presence of X⁺-I (Br) bonds (much less extensive, or not possible, in SeI₃MF₆, $(CH_3)_2SISbF_6$, $(CH_3)_2SI-SbCl_6$, and $(CH_3)(CF_3)SIMF_6^{32})$. Neutral sulfur and selenium bromides and chlorides are stable, consistently; $X_h Hal_y^{n+}$ cations containing an Se-Cl bond (in Se₇+SeSeCl)⁵⁸ and X-Br bond (in Br₂X+XXBr, X = S, Se)⁶⁰ are observed whereas iodine-containing analogues are not.

⁽⁵⁶⁾ Edwards, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 1723.
(57) Stork-Blaisee, B. A.; Romers, C. Acta Crystallogr. 1971, B27, 386.
(58) Faggiani, R.; Gillespie, R. J.; Kolis, J. W.; Malhotra, K. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 591.

⁽⁵⁹⁾ Murchie, M. P.; Passmore, J.; White, P. S. Can. J. Chem. 1987,

^{65, 1584.}

⁽⁶⁰⁾ Passmore, J.; Tajik, M.; White, P. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988. 175.

⁽⁶¹⁾ Laitinen, R.; Steudel, R.; Weiss, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 1095.

Table II

M-M Dond Distances and Bond Orders			
cation	M–M shortest bond distance, Å	bond order (ref 31)	
S ₇ Br ⁺	1.92 (2)	1.7	
$S_{2}I_{4}^{2+}$	1.828 (1)	2.3	
$\tilde{S_7I^+}$	1.906 (5)	1.8	
$(\dot{S}_{7}I)_{2}I^{3+}$	1.897 (10)	1.8	
Se ₉ Cl ⁺	2.223 (5)	1.5	
$Se_3Br_3^+$	2.211 (6)	1.6	
$Se_2I_4^{2+}$	2.841 (2)	0.2	
Se_6I^+	2.292 (4)	1.2	
SeeL ²⁺	2.227(2)	1.5	

Examples of Thermodynamically Stable $n p\pi$ - $n p\pi$ ($n \ge 3$) Bonds. Charge delocalization and bond alternation lead to the presence of particularly short Se-Se and S-S bonds in the homopolyatomic sulfur and selenium halogen cations (Table II) except for Se₂I₄²⁺. Thus these cations can be regarded as containing examples of thermodynamically stable $3p\pi$ - $3p\pi$ bonds and $4p\pi$ - $4p\pi$ bonds. S₂I₄²⁺ is particularly remarkable in that it maximizes π bonding and contains 3σ and $3np\pi$ - $np\pi$ bonds ($n \ge 3$) (Figure 5). The bond order of the S₂ unit corresponds to 2.33, the highest observed for any isolated non second row element containing compound. It is thermodynamically stable with respect to an all σ bonded isomer and also with respect to addition of I₂(s) (eq 24).²⁴ Se₂I₄²⁺ is also thermodynam-

$$S_2I_4(AsF_6)_2(s) + I_2(s) \rightarrow 2SI_3AsF_6(s)$$
(24)

ically stable with respect to an all σ bonded isomer. It consists of two SeI₂⁺ units, joined by a weak $\pi^{*}-\pi^{*}$ interaction (Figures 6-8), and the dimer contains one $4p\pi$ - $5p\pi$ bond delocalized over the four Se-I bonds. A similar situation is found for many homopolyatomic chalcogen and halogen cations (e.g., X_4^{2+} , X = S, Se, Te; Hal_2^+ , Hal = Br, I, I_4^{2+})⁷ which also contain thermodynamically stable $np\pi - np\pi$ ($n \ge 3$) bonds. This is in contrast with the neutral group 14 and 15 compounds containing $np\pi - np\pi$ ($n \ge 3$) bonds which are kinetically, but not thermodynamically, stable.¹² This is in part because there is charge localization on adjacent positively charged atoms in the alternative σ -bonded isomer $[I_2X^+X^+I_2]$ (X = S, Se). The S⁺-S⁺ σ bond dissociation energy will be significantly less than that in a normal sulfur-sulfur bond, and in addition, there will be an energy loss accompanying charge localization. Thus the energetics of the σ versus π bonds in the cations are very different from those in neutral molecules.⁶²

Presence of $\pi^*-\pi^*$ Bonds in $S_2I_4^{2+}$ and $Se_2I_4^{2+}$ and Its Implications for Bonding in Related Species. The dimers in $S_2I_4^{2+}$ are joined by two weak naturally perpendicular sets of $\pi^*-\pi^*$ bonds (Figure 5). This situation is similar to that found in I_4^{2+} and in (NO)₂ dimers in the solid state, and in a variety of other



Figure 14. The structure of Se_8^{2+} compared with that of $\text{Se}_2\text{I}_4^{2+}$.



Figure 15. The HOMO-1 of S_8^{2+} .

sulfur-containing dimers.^{7,63} Similarly, the two SeI₂⁺ units are joined via a six-center two-electron $\pi^{*}-\pi^{*}$ bond (Figure 8), similar to the bonding in S₂O₄^{2-,42}

The long Se–Se bonds in $\text{Se}_2\text{I}_4^{2+}$ (2.841 Å) and Se_8^{2+} $(2.83 \text{ Å})^7$ are similar in length. In addition, both $\text{Se}_2 I_4^{2+}$ and, in $\mathrm{Se_8}^{2+}$, the two tricoordinate formally positively charged selenium atoms and the four selenium atoms to which they are joined have the same eclipsed geometries (Figure 14). Thus the six selenium atoms in Se_8^{2+} are also joined by a six-center two-electron $\pi^{*}-\pi^{*}$ bond. The geometry of S_8^{2+} is also similar, and the HOMO-1 has been shown⁶⁴ to have $\pi^* - \pi^*$ characteristics (Figure 15). Therefore, the presence of $\pi^* - \pi^*$ bonds of both the four-center two-electron type and the six-center two-electron type are likely to be found in a variety of compounds of the electron-rich elements (e.g., in S_4N_4 , which may be viewed as containing a six-center twoelectron bond about each of the two S–S interactions). Where they have been measured, the strengths of the $\pi^{*}-\pi^{*}$ bonds are weak (less than 40 kJ mol⁻¹).⁷ In contrast, a high bond energy is associated with π bonding within the monomer. Thus the $\pi^*-\pi^*$ bond formation follows that of the π -bonded fragments.

This Account would not have been possible without the fine, dedicated experimental work of former graduate students and postdoctoral fellows (whose names are given in the references), but especially Dr. Peter Taylor, whose outstanding work opened up this field, and Dr. Peter White, for the determination of many X-ray structures, which have often been complicated by $MF_6^$ disorder problems. We thank Dr. Neil Burford for many enjoyable discussions and ideas on bonding in related cations (see ref 7), UNB and NSERC (Canada) for financial support, and the Humboldt Foundaton for a Lynen Fellowship (T.K.). We also thank Simon Parsons for his help in improving the manuscript.

⁽⁶²⁾ Schmidt, M. W.; Truong, Phi. N.; Gordon, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5217.

⁽⁶³⁾ Banister, A. J.; Clarke, H. Y.; Rayment, I.; Shearer, H. M. M. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1974, 10, 647. Awere, E. G.; Burford, N.; Mailer, C.; Passmore, J.; Schriver, M. J.; White, P. S.; Banister, A. J.; Oberhammer, H.; Sutcliffe, L. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 66.
(64) Burford, N., private communication.